

23387

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor                   Hendrik DOHLE et al  
Patent App.               10/553,574  
Filed                      29 August 2006                   Conf. No. 1571  
For                        CATHODE FOR A DIRECT METHANOL FUEL CELL AND  
                           METHOD FOR ...  
Art Unit                   1795                           Examiner Scully, S  
Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
Box 1450   Appealed 22-Jan-09  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY BRIEF UNDER 37 CFR 41.41

Now come appellants by their duly authorized attorney and submit their reply brief under the provisions of 37 CFR 41.41.

There is a mistake in the rejection. Namely:

In section 1 the examiner states "Regarding claims 4 and 8, Kosako discloses an electrolyte membrane electrode assembly for a fuel cell, which comprises an anode, a cathode, and an electrolyte, comprising an anode-side catalyst layer (94) and a cathode-side catalyst layer (96) provided on both sides of a polymer electrolyte membrane (91)... The cathode-side diffusion layer has projections (99)..."

This language refers to FIG. 12B which is the only figure in the cited reference using reference numerals 94, 96, and 99.

This rejection from the Examiner's Answer was taken virtually verbatim from the final action of 10 October 2008. It is the only rejection of claims 4 and 8.

This rejection was objected to in the response to that Final Action and in the Appeal Brief as referring to a prior-art structure that Kosako admitted was inoperative. The Examiner's Answer goes on to say in section (10) that "The rejection above ... does not discuss Figure 12B." This must be in reference to the rejection of claims 5 and 7, not the rejection of claims 4 and 8 since the rejection of claims 4 and 8 refers only to structure shown in FIG. 12B.

Thus the argument against the final-action rejection of claims 4 and 8 stands unopposed. The rejection of claims 4 and 8 is incorrect in that it is based on a part of the prior art admitted to be inoperative. Claims 4 and 8 therefore are clearly patentable as there is no other rejection of them.

If claims 4 and 8 can be rejected on FIGS. 1A and 1B of Kosako '155, that is a new rejection and can only be made in an office action, not in an Examiner's Answer. Just as an applicant cannot make a new argument in an Appeal Brief, and examiner cannot make a new rejection in an Examiner's Answer.

Going further, a set of proposed claims, transmitted herewith, was submitted to the examiner in charge of this case on 1

June 2009, with the understanding that they made the case allowable.

Finally the argument of the examiner about the changed language of the claims resulting in withdrawal of the original finding of allowable subject matter is specious. The statement that "bound directly on the free cathode compartment" is different from "bounding a free cathode compartment" is not understood, as the first statement is clearly a clumsy translation but carries the same general meaning as the second one. Claim 2, accurately translated reads:

2. A low-temperature fuel cell according to claim 1 to 2 wherein the catalyst layer of the cathode directly bounds the free cathode space.

It is clear that this case is in a confused state, probably the result of the change in examiners. The argument against the rejection of claims 4 and 8 is unopposed and agreed-upon allowable subject matter has been ignored.

In fairness, prosecution should be reopened and a new first action issued to clarify the situation. Alternately a new Examiner's Answer should be submitted that explains how the

existing rejection of claims 4 and 8 based on the structure of FIG. 12B of Kosako cited in this rejection is valid.

K.F. Ross P.C.

*/Andrew Wilford/*

by: Andrew Wilford, 26,597  
Attorney for Applicant

29 June 2009  
5683 Riverdale Avenue Box 900  
Bronx, NY 10471-0900  
Cust. No.: 535  
Tel: 718 884-6600  
Fax: 718 601-1099  
Email: [email@kfrpc.com](mailto:email@kfrpc.com)

Enclosure: Proposed claims filed 01 June 2009

23387

IN THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor                   Hendrik DOHLE et al  
Patent App.               10/553,574  
Filed                      29 August 2006                   Conf. No. 1571  
For                        CATHODE FOR A DIRECT METHANOL FUEL CELL AND  
                           METHOD FOR ...  
Art Unit                   1795                           Examiner Suitte, B  
Hon. Commissioner of Patents  
Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450                           Fax to 571 270-4961

PROPOSED AMENDED CLAIMS

1                           3. (previously presented) The low-temperature fuel cell  
2 according to claim 8 in which the diffusion layer of the cathode is  
3 composed of an ion-conducting material.

1                           4. (currently amended) A method of operating a low-  
2 temperature fuel cell with  
3                           an anode,  
4                           a cathode comprising  
5                           a diffusion layer and  
6                           a catalyst layer on the diffusion layer and bounding  
7                           a free cathode compartment, and

8                   an electrolyte membrane directly engaging the diffusion  
9       layer and arranged [[there]] between the cathode and the anode ;  
10      the cathode comprising a diffusion layer engaging directly against  
11      the membrane and a catalyst layer on the diffusion layer and  
12      bounding a free cathode compartment , the method comprising the  
13      steps of:

14                  causing protons produced at the anode to travel through  
15       the electrolyte membrane and then through the diffusion layer of  
16       the cathode to the catalyst layer, and

17                  supplying oxygen via the free cathode compartment  
18       directly to the catalyst layer.

1                 5. (previously presented) The method according to claim  
2       4 in which methanol or a methanol water mixture is supplied as a  
3       fuel.

1                 6. (previously presented) The method according to claim  
2       4 in which the oxygen is supplied as pure oxygen or as atmospheric  
3       oxygen.

1                 7. (previously presented) The method according to claim  
2       4, further comprising the step of:

3                  directly discharging water produced at the catalyst layer  
4       of the cathode through the free cathode compartment.

5               8. (previously presented) A low-temperature fuel cell  
6 comprising:

7               an anode;

8               a cathode;

9               an electrolyte membrane between the anode and the  
10 cathode;

11               a diffusion layer forming a face of the cathode and  
12 engaging directly against the electrolyte membrane; and

13               a catalyst layer forming an opposite face of the cathode,  
14 turned away from the anode, and bounding a free cathode  
15 compartment.

1               9. (previously presented) The low-temperature fuel cell  
2 defined in claim 8 wherein the diffusion layer is composed of a  
3 proton-conducting material.